## **NW Transportation Conference:**

## Division Corridor - Next Gen Transit Signal Priority and Safety Improvements

March 5<sup>th</sup>, 2024

Mark Haines PE, PTOE



### **Division Transit Project**





### **Division Transit Project**





### Outer Division Safety Project



4.6 miles (7.4 km)



Pre-project Conditions:

Outer Division Street SE 80<sup>th</sup> to 174th



76ft (23.2m) curb-to-curb 90 ft (27.4m) right-of-way

	ADT
Division @ 87th	23,000
Division @ 116th	35,000
Division @ 152nd	30,000











SYN	MBOLS	TYPE OF CRA	SH	SI	EVERITY	SE Division Safety Project
<b>→</b> *	Pedestrian	1	Head On			
-> eite	Bicycle	RA	Angle	$\odot$	Fatal Crash	SE 122 <sup>nd</sup> Ave. to SE 125 <sup>th</sup> Ave. Collision Crash Diagram Data Range: Jan 1, 2011 – Dec 31, 2015
→□	Fixed Object		Rear End	$\bigcirc$	Injury Crash	Portland Bureau of Transportation
$\rightarrow$	Parked Vehicle		Sideswipe			Created: Sept 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2017 – By JMM





## Division Safety Improvements

- Raised Access Management Medians, 47% crash reduction
- Signalized Pedestrian Crossings 56% pedestrian crash reduction
- **Protected bike lanes**, 11% crash reduction



### Raised Medians with U-turns every 1/4 mile to 1/3 mile

1.5 min out of direction travel time

### Signalized Pedestrian Crossings every 530ft to 800ft 15 new signalized crossings



SE Division St at SE 136<sup>th</sup> Ave, looking

east







### Post Project – U-turn location





### Post Project – Center Median Islands





### Post Project – Center Median Islands





### U-turn Design Vehicle





### Protected Bike Lanes: Buffer Hardening or Parking Protected



























Protected Bike Lanes at Bus Stops:

Bikes Behind Step Out



Protected Bike Lanes at Bus Stops: Bikes Behind Island Station





Protected Bike Lanes at Bus Stops: Bikes Behind Island Station





### Project Performance Monitoring Plan

- Initial project report 1 year after substantial completion. Division Substantial completion was end of October 2022.
- Report at 3 years to evaluate the first year of available crash data.
- Report at 5 years to evaluate 3 years of available crash data.



#### Number of Vehicles Per Day on Division Street (EB and WB Combined) Traveling 10mph or more over the 30mph speed limit





#### Westbound 85th % speeds (MPH) Pre and Post Project







### Eastbound 85th % speeds (MPH) Pre and Post Project



### Traffic Signal Operation Goals





### Outer Division: New Signal Phases

Existing Lane Configuration & Phases

- Vehicles/Bikes/Buses
- Pedestrians



# New Lane Configuration & Phases

- Vehicles
- Pedestrians
- Dikoc





### Outer Division: BAT Lanes Approaching Signals









### Outer Division: Typical Phasing



![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Vehicle Reservice

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Radar Detection – Operations and Performance Measures

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Aggregate Data: Volumes Hourly Volume - Aggregate January 3, 2018 - Prover Crossing & Milpord Dive (B108 6003) - Prover Crossing & Milpord Dive (B108 6003) - Prover Crossing & Sold VI (SLO# 6003) - Prover Crossing & Milpord Dive (B108 6003) - Prover Crossing & Sold VI (SLO# 6003) - Prover Crossing & Milpord Dive (B108 6003) - Prover Crossing & Sold VI (SLO# 6003) - Prover Crossing & Sold VI (S

#### Yellow and Red Actuations

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### SE 122nd Ave / SE Division St

 Identified a failing detector driving up the dynamic max

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Advanced Transportation Controller

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

			-				
1	WB LT	11.4	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	9.7
2	EB Bike/Ped	19.0	19.5	41.5	34.7	72.3	24.9
4	West Leg Ped	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
б	WB Thru	118.8	53.8	59.5	58.9	70.5	49.6
8	NB + East Leg Ped	0.0	0.0	9.6	21.1	9.5	0.0
9	EB RT/OL1/OL5	88.4	39.6	0.0	14.1	0.0	13.2
st Terr	n (Past 10 Service	/Cycles)					
hase	Description	-1		-2		-3	
		Force Off					

Force Off

Force Off

Prioritor

Prioritor

Prioritor

Force Off

2 EB Bike/Ped

4 West Leg Ped 6 WB Thru

NB + East Leg Ped

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)
#### **Protected Intersections**





# Protected Intersections: SE 148th Ave/SE Division St, looking east)





#### Protected Intersections: Phase Rotation





#### **Protected Intersections: Serial Cabinets**

Serial Cabinet 332 Cabinet 1-1:11:1 Up to 18 outputs (1 per Up to 32 outputs (2 per slot) slot)



### **Protected Intersections: Serial Cabinets**





Serial Cabinet



# Protected Intersections: Serial Cabinets (Back)





#### Serial Cabinet



332 Cabinet

### Fiber – The Unsung Hero







# How TriMet Modernized Public Transit

**NWTransportation Conference March 2024** 

UNION

TO GRESHRM

TRI () MET 199039

NW 5th Hovt

# **Issues That FX Helps to Solve**

- **1.** Long-term transit reliability
- **2.** Increase transit speed/capacity
- **3.** Greater accessibility and comfort
- **4.** Improved connectivity

ΕT

**5.** Improved safety

TRI



# **Standard Transit Tools**

# This "Tool Box" typically includes:

- Adaptive Stop Placement minimal infrastructure requirements
- Shelter Placement Applied where demand meets minimum thresholds
- 40' Buses Single-door boarding

ΕT

TRI

• Service Frequency and Line Variation – Tool for matching service with demand



# **Standard Transit Tools**

Limitations of this basic tool box:

- Minimal improvement to infrastructure (stop improvements, sidewalk connections, ADA ramps, lighting, signal and safety improvements).
- Little improvement to speed / reliability when route congestion is already an issue
- Localized vs. corridor-wide safety and accessibility improvements





# High Capacity 60' Buses

- 60% More Capacity Than A 40' Bus Eliminates pass-ups, and carries more people with fewer trips
- **3-Door Boarding** Reduces dwell time by about 1.4 seconds per passenger / 7 seconds per stop (LA Metro, SF Muni studies)





# MOBILITY SOLUTIONS

LYT.

# Legacy Transit Signal Priority



# LYT. Legacy Systems



#### Hardware heavy



Labor heavy



**Operational Challenges** 

# Lyr. Legacy Systems





Poor performance at near-side stops / service disruptions

No observability

# LYT. The LYT Platform



# LYT Algorithms Learn & Adapt



# LYT.speed

Keeps learning, gets better with age

- Vehicle activity
- Traffic over time
- Speed over time
- Speed limit

- Dwell times
- Road capacity

Decision-making based on Machine Learning



# TriMet, PBOT, ODOT, & City of Gresham, OR Success





# In less than six months after launch:

- ~70% reduction in signal delay: ~5 6 minutes each way
- ~30% increase in green light success rate (Green on arrival)
- TSP system operated seamlessly during sudden, unplanned fleet swap

#### News

# TriMet pulls FX2 rapid buses off the street in 'abundance of caution'

Updated: Nov. 07, 2022, 3:18 p.m. | Published: Nov. 06, 2022, 12:41 p.m.

# **LYT**• Architecture Overview of LYT.transit



# **LYT**• Architecture Overview of LYT.transit



Traffic Partner Agency Traffic Management Center Network

# LYT. Architecture Overview of LYT.transit

### Transit Signal Priority – A Brief History





Why do we use Bus Priority?

- OAR 734-020-0310
  - "Bus priority system... provide buses the capability to modify green intervals but not the display sequence of a traffic control signal."





# Transit Signal Priority – A Brief History Continued





# Transit Signal Priority – A Brief History





#### So, what did we go with?

TriMet NextGen TSP – Concept Architecture PRG, PRS, and CO Physical Components





#### Internal Features...





# User Programs – Ped Priority

#### Program Statements

Program 3

Show All Statements

Statement	Result Value	Result	Index	Operation	Parameter A	Index	Parameter B	Index	Delay	Ext.	Description
1	1	Global Variable	1	Result=A	Phase Vehicle Call	2	None	0	0.0	0.0	Blue Light
2	0	None	0	Result=(A OR B)	Ped Call	3	Ped Call	7	0.0	0.0	>Activate ped priority when Side Street Ped
3	0	Local Variable	1	Result=(A if !B)	Previous Line Result	0	Prioritor Status	0	5.0	0.0	-Side Street Ped Call Active
4	1	None	0	Countdown A seconds if B	Number	45	Overlap Green	1	0.0	0.0	-No TSP Call Active
5	0	Local Variable	2	Result=(A AND B)	Previous Line Result	0	Local Variable	1	0.0	0.0	-EB Thru green for 45s<
6	3	Local Variable	3	Result=A	Current Action	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	Set LV 3 = Current Action by TOD
7	0	None	0	Result=(A == B)	Local Variable	3	Number	1	0.0	0.0	Current Action = 1
8	0	Coordination Free Switch	1	Result=(A if B)	Local Variable	2	Previous Line Result	0	0.0	0.0	>If LV 2 and Current Action = 1
9	0	Phase Force Off	2	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Set controller free and force off ph 2
10	0	Phase Force Off	6	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 6
11	0	Phase Force Off	1	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 1
12	0	Phase Force Off	5	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 5<
13	0	None	0	Result=(A == B)	Local Variable	3	Number	2	0.0	0.0	Current Action = 2
14	0	Coordination Free Switch	1	Result=(A if B)	Local Variable	2	Previous Line Result	0	0.0	0.0	>If LV 2 and Current Action = 2
15	0	Phase Force Off	2	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Set controller free and force off ph 2
16	0	Phase Force Off	6	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 6
17	0	Phase Force Off	1	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 1
18	0	Phase Force Off	5	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 5<
19	1	None	0	Result=(A == B)	Local Variable	3	Number	3	0.0	0.0	Current Action = 3
20	0	Coordination Free Switch	1	Result=(A if B)	Local Variable	2	Previous Line Result	0	0.0	0.0	>If LV 2 and Current Action = 3
21	0	Phase Force Off	2	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Set controller free and force off ph 2
22	0	Phase Force Off	6	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 6
23	0	Phase Force Off	1	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 1
24	0	Phase Force Off	5	Result=A	Previous Line Result	0	None	0	0.0	0.0	-Force off phase 5<
27	0	Phase Force Off	2	Result=(A if !B)	Phase Vehicle Call	9	Prioritor Status	0	5.0	0.0	Call ph 9 if vehicle sitting to turn right, then



# User Programs - TSP





#### **Transit Signal Priority Routine**











# **Bus Signal**



Portlandoregon.gov/transportation

# User Programs – TSP – Two Buses





# Two Buses – One Passing




#### Whole System View





Portlandoregon.gov/transportation

#### Before/After Results

- TSP and PBOT logic reduced bus travel time by about 8.2 minutes round trip
- TSP alone reduced travel time by about 5% with PBOT logic enabled, the reduction in travel time was 10%
- On-time percentage increased from 65% to 76% with TSP and PBOT logic enabled
- Headway adherence improved from 53% to 67% with TSP and PBOT logic enabled
- Pedestrian and bicyclist delay increased with TSP alone, but were returned to baseline (TSP off scenario) after PBOT logic was enabled
- Motorist delay and split failures increased with TSP alone, but were returned to baseline (TSP off scenario) after PBOT logic was enabled



## Questions?



Mark Haines, PE ITS Engineer City of Portland <u>mark.haines@portlandoregon.gov</u>



Portlandoregon.gov/transportation

# What's next for TSP and FX?



# Line 72 Existing Conditions

r R I 🌀 M E T

77

#### Line 72 – A Transit Workhorse for our Community

**Highest Ridership Bus Line** – approximately 6,000 daily trips (More than Yellow & Orange MAX lines)

#### **Major Transit connections:**

- MAX Blue, Green and Red Lines
- Intersecting 6 frequent service lines

#### **Connection to key destinations:**

- Downtown Portland
- Downtown Gresham
- Milwaukie City Center

Key Transfers (Downtown PDX, Gresham, Milwaukie)

An important way in which people get to school, work, shopping, medical appointments and everyday needs.



#### Line 72 - Regular Bus Delay

Line 72 ranks <u>1st</u> for cumulative passenger delay

Within Line 72, delay is greatest along 82nd Ave

**15 min average delay for Line 72** (13 mins SB, 21 mins NB)

# 12.9 mph average bus speed through 30 MPH corridor

(Fall 2021 average bus speed data without acceleration/decelerations)



#### And its only going to get worse...

# 82<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Transit Project

Partners: Metro, TriMet, PBOT, ODOT, Clackamas County, Multnomah County, Port of Portland and community stakeholders



## 82<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Transit Project: Purpose & Need

The purpose of the project is to improve transit speed, reliability, capacity, safety, comfort, and access on 82nd Avenue. Address the needs of people who live, work, learn, shop, and travel within the corridor both today and in the future – in particular, BIPOC and low-income individuals – through context-sensitive transit improvements in a constrained corridor.

#### Why are we exploring a project here:

- Improve transit speed and reliability
- Address high travel demand in a constrained corridor
- Improve safety and safe accessibility
- Provide improved service to transit-dependent communities
- Address climate goals by increasing transit ridership and reducing reliance on single-occupant vehicles

### **Ensure Transit Reliability Into the Future**





#### **Stops to Stations-** *Focusing & Leveraging Investments*

- Focus investments at key station areas to improve ease of use, accessibility and safety
- Improve transit service better travel times and overall reliability
- Leverage and compliment other corridor investments along 82nd Avenue (pedestrian crossings sidewalks, street improvements).





Line 2 - Division (2017)



**Proposed Stations (2/9/2023)** 



**SE Portland** 

Far SE Portland & Clackamas

85

# 82<sup>nd</sup> Civic Corridor Improvements (PBOT)

RI MET



#### **Critical Fixes – Outcome**

- More lighting.
- New and upgraded crossings.
- Safety improvements to reduce speeding vehicles and improve visibility.
- Repaving.
- Curb ramp upgrades.
- Traffic signal
  - replacements.





### **Coordinating with PBOT Concurrent Efforts**





## **STATUS & NEXT STEPS**

Summer 2023 – Ongoing analysis & coordination. Community & stakeholder engagement
Fall 2023 – Ongoing analysis and vetting of N. Terminus options. Updated baseline project estimate
Winter 2023 – N. terminus location(s) determined. Refined Station locations. Draft Funding Plan.
Spring 2024 – Steering Committee vote to endorse draft Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA)
Late Spring 2024 - Move into Project Development under the FTA Capital Investment Grant Program

### Where do we go after that?



#### **FX System Plan**

#### <u>Goals:</u>

- **1. Set a vision** that connects our communities by providing an FX system that serves the region with improved speed, reliability, access and comfort
- 2. Prioritize FX projects for implementation
- 3. Identify strategies to deliver an FX system region-wide
- 4. Learn partners' needs and plan a system that responds to them

### **Coordination and next steps**

### **FX System Plan**

#### Coordination with other planning efforts

- Forward Together 2.0 is planning potential service increases.
- Metro Plans including the Regional Transportation Plan and the High Capacity Transit Strategy create a framework for transportation that supports planned regional growth.

#### Current & next steps

- Collaborate with Metro's Investment Areas team to draft a detailed vision: **now**
- Hire consultant to help draft the Plan: Fall-Winter 2023
- Plan completion: Fall 2025